

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/667,227	09/18/2003	Jae Hak Yee	AMKOR-012G1	7012	
7663	7590 09/16/2004		EXAMINER		
	BRUNDA GARRED (RISE, SUITE 250	ROSE, KIESHA L			
	O, CA 92656		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2822	-	

DATE MAILED: 09/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/667,227	YEE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Kiesha L. Rose	2822				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REITTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stationary and the provided by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>27-41</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration.	•				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 January 2004</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ on the drawing(s) be held in abeyal rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a light	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Sta	age			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	d) ☐ Interview 9	Summary (PTO-412)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/14/04,1/16/04. 	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-15 	52)			

Application/Control Number: 10/667,227

Art Unit: 2822

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the filing of the application.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description:

Fig. 11, #31

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,227

Art Unit: 2822

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 32-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yagi et al. (U.S. Patent 6,201,292).

Yagi discloses a semiconductor device (Figs. 7 and 12) that contain a flat paddle (24) having an upper surface and that is partially etched which extends about the periphery, a plurality of leads (22) arranged adjacent to the paddle, at least some of the leads each having a lower surface and a lead lock comprising at least one disk shaped protrusion (the circle shaped element in Fig. 12), a semiconductor chip (27) having a lower surface and mounted on paddle by a thermally conducting adhesive (26), conductive wires (28) each electrically connecting respective ones of the leads to the chip, a resin encapsulant (29) defining the bottom surface and at least partially encapsulating the chip, lead lock of the leads and the conductive wires wherein a portion of the lower surface of each of the leads is exposed at the bottom of the encapsulant, a plating layer applied to the lower surface of the leads (bond pad(22b)), a plurality of solder balls (30) being attached to the lower surface of the leads and serving as an external input/output terminal.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,227

Art Unit: 2822

Claims 37 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by

Okumura et al. (U.S. Patent 5,942,794).

Okumura discloses a semiconductor device (Fig. 11) that contains a flat paddle (102) having an upper surface and that is partially etched which extends about the periphery, a plurality of leads (104) arranged adjacent to the paddle, at least some of the leads each having a lower surface and a lead lock comprising inclined sidewalls having an increased width defined therebetween in an upward direction from the lower surface, a semiconductor chip (103) having a lower surface and mounted on paddle, conductive wires (105) each electrically connecting respective ones of the leads to the chip and a resin encapsulant (106) defining the bottom surface and at least partially encapsulating the chip, lead lock of the leads and the conductive wires wherein a portion of the lower surface of each of the leads is exposed at the bottom of the encapsulant.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 38-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okumura in view of Yagi.

Art Unit: 2822

Okumura discloses all the limitations except for a plurality of solder balls and adhesive on the paddle and chip. Whereas Yagi discloses a paddle (24), a plurality of leads (22) arrange adjacent to the paddle, a semiconductor chip (27) having a lower surface and mounted on paddle by a thermally conducting adhesive (26), conductive wires (28) each electrically connecting respective ones of the leads to the chip, a resin encapsulant (29) defining the bottom surface and at least partially encapsulating the chip, lead lock of the leads and the conductive wires wherein a portion of the lower surface of each of the leads is exposed at the bottom of the encapsulant, a plating layer applied to the lower surface of the leads (bond pad(22b)) and a plurality of solder balls (30) being attached to the lower surface of the leads and serving as an external input/output terminal. The solder balls were formed on the bottom of the leads for electrical connection to the outer circuit substrate. (Column 5, lines 13-16) Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Okumura by incorporating solder balls to the end of the leads to form electrical connection to the outer circuit substrate as taught by Yagi.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double

patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 27-31 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,448,633. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they set forth subject matter which is obvious over each other and add dependent limitations to the independent claims and the Yee '633 reference discloses the paddle, plurality of leads, chip, conductive wires, resin encapsulant, solder balls and adhesive which are the same limitations that are disclosed in the pending application.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kiesha L. Rose whose telephone number is 571-272-1844. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:00 off 2nd Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on 571-272-1852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,227 Page 7

Art Unit: 2822

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KLR

AMIR ZARABIAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800